

**BEFORE THE  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
AND THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**SUPPLEMENT TO FIRST AMENDED PETITION TO REPORT FEDERAL CRIMES  
CONCERNING 9/11 TO SPECIAL GRAND JURY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO  
GRAND JURY PURSUANT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
AND 18 U.S.C. § 3332(a):**

**SUMMARY OF PERSONS, COMPANIES, AND ENTITIES WHO MAY HAVE  
MATERIAL INFORMATION RELATED TO THE FEDERAL CRIMES REPORTED  
BY THE LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR 9/11 INQUIRY, INC.**

**NAMES AND IDENTIFYING INFORMATION REDACTED VERSION  
(Unredacted version will also be submitted to the United States Attorney)**

**BY THE LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR 9/11 INQUIRY, INC.  
Dated: February 11, 2019**

The Lawyers' Committee for 9/11 Inquiry, Inc. (Lawyers' Committee) hereby respectfully submits this Supplement to the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition. This Supplement addresses persons and categories of persons who have, or who may have, material information related to the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee in their First Amended Petition. The fact that persons and categories of persons are identified herein<sup>1</sup> should not be taken as an indication that such persons are alleged by the Lawyers' Committee to have been a perpetrator or to be a suspect in regard to the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee. Making such determinations is a primary function, and within the authority, of the Special Grand Jury.

These persons or categories of persons are mentioned here because they have, or may have, material information that would be helpful to the Special Grand Jury, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and the Department of Justice (DOJ) in conducting an inquiry into the crimes addressed in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition, which includes but is not limited to a determination who may have been responsible for those crimes. The Lawyers' Committee here, as it did in the First Amended Petition (and in the original Petition), respectfully requests that the United States Attorney provide the information in this Supplement, along with the First Amended Petition and the evidentiary exhibits thereto, to a Special Grand Jury pursuant to the United States Attorney's mandatory duty to do so under 18 U.S.C. § 3332(a).

---

<sup>1</sup> This redacted version of the Petition Supplement has names of persons (other than eye-witnesses) and private companies, and information that might readily identify them, redacted by deletion/omission. The unredacted version will be provided to the United States Attorney for submission to the Special Grand Jury.

**I. Fact (Non-Expert) Witnesses Who May Have Material Information Relevant to the Federal Crimes Reported by the Lawyers' Committee**

**A. WTC First Responders Who Reported Seeing and Hearing Explosions on 9/11**

Numerous First Responders reported seeing and hearing explosions at the WTC on 9/11, including Kenneth Rogers, Firefighter (F.D.N.Y.); Daniel Rivera, Paramedic (E.M.S.); Stephen Gregory, Assistant Commissioner (F.D.N.Y.); Kevin Gorman, Firefighter; Thomas Fitzpatrick, Deputy Commissioner for Administration (F.D.N.Y.); Karin Deshore, Captain (E.M.S.); Dominick Derubbio, Battalion Chief (F.D.N.Y.); Frank Cruthers, Chief (F.D.N.Y.); Jason Charles, E.M.T. (E.M.S.); Frank Campagna, Firefighter; Ed Cachia, Firefighter; and Rich Banaciski, Firefighter. These First Responders are persons who have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry. Their testimonies are summarized and cited in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition. *Also see*, Dr. Graeme MacQueen's article detailing more than 150 examples of WTC witnesses, including over one hundred firefighters, who reported sights or sounds of explosions on 9/11.<sup>2</sup> As explained in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition, due to the circumstances and timing and specific details observed and reported by these First Responders, these explosions could not be explained by plane impacts or resultant office fires.

The First Responders reports on 9/11 included: "Bombs," "explosions" at the lowest level and the highest level of the buildings before the collapses, flames being blown out, a "synchronized deliberate" kind of collapse, like a "professional demolition," "pop, pop, pop, pop, pop" sounds before the collapses, "low-level flashes," "three floors explode," "the antenna coming down," like "those implosions on TV," "popping sounds" and "explosions" "going both up and down and then all around the building," "with each popping" "orange and then a red flash

---

<sup>2</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 34](#). *Also see*, [First Amended Petition Exhibit 03](#).

came out of the building” and “go all around the building,” “looked like it was a timed explosion,” “at the very top simultaneously from all four sides, materials shot out horizontally” before the collapse began, “boom, boom, boom, boom, and then the tower came down,” and “going all the way around like a belt, all these explosions.” It is very unlikely that these consistent specific observations, described in more detail in the Lawyers’ Committee’s First Amended Petition, would all have been mistaken perceptions or false reports coming from professional First Responders, and these reports cannot be explained by only a gravity-driven collapse caused by plane impacts and office fires. These witnesses have material information relating to the use of pre-placed explosives and/or incendiaries at the WTC on 9/11.

**B. WTC Tenants and Visitors Who Reported Seeing and Hearing Explosions on 9/11**

On 9/11, some WTC tenants and visitors, as well as some firefighters, also reported pre-plane-impact explosions in the lobby and basement. “But all you had to do was look around,” one firefighter stated. “It was obvious something had happened right there in the lobby.” A second firefighter stated, “You just saw that all of the windows were blown out. The lobby looked like the plane hit the lobby.”<sup>3</sup>

Mainstream television interviews broadcast on September 11<sup>th</sup> and later recorded statements with WTC1 and WTC2 employees, employees of companies renting office space in WTC1 and WTC2, and other witnesses also document their observations of basement level and lobby explosions. In some of these statements, the witnesses make clear that the explosion in the basement or lobby occurred *before* the plane impacts, which occurred at much higher levels in WTC1 and WTC2. William Rodriguez is one such key witness to WTC pre-plane-impact

---

<sup>3</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 21](#) (firefighters’ statements included with other witness interviews in this Exhibit).

basement level explosions in WTC1<sup>4</sup> who has material information that would be useful to the Special Grand Jury, as does Kenneth Summers and Philip Morelli. Mr. Rodriguez, who has been widely praised for his heroic efforts to save others on 9/11 also offered to provide to the 9/11 Commission a list of names of several other witnesses to explosions at the WTC on 9/11 but the Commission never asked him to provide those witness names. The Special Grand Jury should.

A New York Channel 7 reporter stated: “The ladies who are with me were in the World Trade Center, in the first building [WTC1], and escaped through the lobby. They report what they believe was a bomb in the lobby.” One WTC1 lobby witness stated: “And even the turnstile was burnt and was sticking up. And they just told us to run.” A second WTC1 lobby witness stated “And as we were coming out we passed the lobby and there was no lobby, so I believe the bomb hit the lobby first and a couple of seconds and then the first plane hit.”<sup>5</sup> Another witness told ABC News on 9/11 that “A fireball emerged from the elevator [in the] lobby and was coming toward me.”<sup>6</sup>

Another witness told ABC News on 9/11: “I was standing next to One World Trade Center and all of a sudden I heard rumbling and we all started running away from it. The glass like blew out and threw me onto the sidewalk ...”<sup>7</sup> A witness told NBC Channel 4: “The bottom elevator, the glass, flames exploded out of the front of the World Trade Center and the glass flew everywhere.”<sup>8</sup> A WTC employee also experienced the pre-plane-impact basement-level

---

<sup>4</sup> First Amended Petition Exhibit 22 (Rodriguez’ statement).

<sup>5</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 21](#), NYC Channel 7 video interview (included with other witness interviews in this Exhibit).

<sup>6</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 21](#), ABC interview on September 11, 2001, with WTC1 employee witness (included with other witness interviews in this Exhibit).

<sup>7</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 21](#), ABC video interview with WTC1 witness on September 11, 2001 (included with other witness interviews in this Exhibit).

<sup>8</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 21](#), NBC video interview with WTC1 witness (included with other witness interviews in this Exhibit).

explosions first in WTC1 and then also in WTC2.<sup>9</sup> In addition, in interviews prior to his post-9/11 death, the late Barry Jennings stated that he witnessed explosions inside WTC7 on 9/11 while he was trapped in WTC7, before either WTC1 or WTC2 had collapsed.<sup>10</sup> Likewise, Michael Hess of New York City's Office of Emergency Management, who was trapped in WTC7 with Mr. Jennings, may have material information relevant to the crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee. All of the above witnesses to explosions are persons who have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry.

**C. WTC Contracted Service Providers Who Accessed the WTC Buildings Before 9/11 and Tenants Who Saw or Heard Contractor Work Being Performed**

Given that the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition demonstrates that substantial quantities of explosives and/or incendiaries must have been placed in three WTC buildings prior to 9/11, persons who may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation include those who controlled access to these WTC buildings, or who were given access in the weeks and months prior to 9/11, including contracted maintenance and repair workers.

Persons who may have material information regarding the 9/11 federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee include those contracted service providers (or others) who performed any work in the WTC buildings at issue in the weeks and months prior to 9/11 (or entered on the pretense of performing such work). This category includes the companies that performed construction, maintenance or repair work in these WTC buildings, including but not limited to work on the elevators, fireproofing work, wiring and computer upgrades, construction

---

<sup>9</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 28](#), Construction worker Philip Morelli statement.

<sup>10</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 25](#).

work, maintenance work, and/or repair work in the months and weeks leading up to 9/11, and the individuals working for these companies who performed those services. One construction company which had offices in WTC1 was reportedly involved in the fireproofing upgrades of the Towers. A particular elevator company was contracted to perform the largest elevator modernization in history during the nine months prior to 9/11. Access to the WTC elevator shafts would also have provided access to core columns and beams in the WTC towers that were critical to their demolition on 9/11.

It is important here to restate the caution noted at the beginning this Petition Supplement to the effect that the fact that a person or company is mentioned in this Supplement does not mean that the Lawyers' Committee believes or even suspects that person or company of any wrongdoing. Making such determinations is a primary function, and within the authority, of the Special Grand Jury. In the case of contract maintenance and repair workers, it is possible that they may have been tasked with applying to WTC structural components what they were told was paint, fireproofing or insulation when in fact the material being applied unbeknownst to the workers contained explosive or incendiary material.

An additional related category of persons who may have material information regarding the 9/11 related crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee include tenants of the WTC. Some WTC tenants reported major construction/remodeling type noises and activities going on in the WTC in the weeks prior to 9/11 and reported that certain offices or floors were off limits. These tenants may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry. It is also possible that some corporate or individual tenants may have facilitated access to the WTC by those placing the explosives and/or incendiaries at issue, knowingly or inadvertently, or could

themselves, for one of the motives noted herein, have been involved in the pre-placement of explosives and/or incendiaries.

**D. Pre-9/11 WTC Security Companies and Their Officers and Staff**

A related category of persons who may have material information regarding the 9/11 related crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee include those persons who worked on the WTC security staff and had duties involving controlling or documenting who had access to the WTC buildings at issue in the months prior to 9/11. One or more confidential informants have reported that in the weeks before 9/11 work crews came in to perform work at the WTC late at night but were not required to sign in as required by established security policies, and were also not required to be accompanied by a security staff member as required by established security policies. All persons with knowledge of such irregular practices, which presumably must include some security personnel, are persons who may have material information of interest to the Special Grand Jury. The Lawyers' Committee is willing to share more specific information regarding confidential informants in a direct presentation to the Special Grand Jury.

The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ) directed the construction of the WTC Towers. PANYNJ's World Trade Center Director had the highest level of access to the WTC buildings and managed and had authority and control over the WTC Complex up until the last three months before the 9/11 attacks. In the weeks before 9/11, the company that had recently acquired the lease for the WTC is reported by the New York Times (in post-9/11 reporting in the Fall of 2001) to have obtained from the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey authority to more fully operate all WTC systems including safety/security systems. Consequently, the persons who may have information material to the special Grand Jury's



inquiry include WTC security staff who worked under the authority of this company that had newly acquired the WTC lease as well as WTC security personnel who worked under the direction of the Port Authority.

A private intelligence and security company is reported to have obtained the security upgrading contract for the WTC after the 1993 bombing at the WTC. Another company is reported to have purchased 23% of this security company in 1993 and was reportedly working closely with the security company on security in the lead up to 9/11.

It may be of interest to the Special Grand Jury that some of the companies and individuals who were involved in upgrading security for the WTC after the 1993 WTC1 bombing and during the lead up to 9/11, and who oversaw the clean up (and resulting destruction and removal of evidence) at Ground Zero, had done significant work for middle eastern nations. The Lawyers' Committee is willing to provide more details on such connections in a direct presentation to the Special Grand Jury.

The name of the contractors responsible for installing systems throughout much of the Towers has been publicly reported. One of these companies is reported to have done the security wiring, fire alarm systems and back-up power for the Towers and was then awarded the maintenance contract. Another company is reported to have upgraded the security for the WTC basement levels including the parking garages, the one area breached in the 1993 WTC1 bombing on the B2 level, including manufacturing and installing the new access control system that was in place in the lead up to and on 9/11.

Another security company has been reported to have had the contract for overall systems integration for the WTC. This company is reported to have had a name change in 1997. It oversaw the WTC security 'completion contract' which provided services up to the date of 9/11.

The aforementioned companies reportedly built the security system in place at the WTC when the buildings were destroyed on 9/11.

Persons involved in security at the WTC over the two weeks prior to 9/11 who worked with the dogs trained to detect explosives may also have information material to the Special Grand Jury investigation. It has been reported that such dogs were brought in prior to 9/11 due to threats received and then removed from the WTC the weekend just prior to 9/11. *See, e.g., "9/11 WTC Tower Had Power Turned Off For 36 Hours Weekend Before Attack,"*

<https://newspunch.com/911-tower-had-power-turned-off-for-36-hours-weekend-before-attack/>.

The guards at WTC1 present at this time, and WTC employees and guests who observed any WTC power down incidents just prior to 9/11, are persons who may have material information of interest to the Special Grand Jury.

**E. Persons and Entities with Pre-9/11 Access to High-Tech Explosives and Incendiaries**

Given that the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition presents more than sufficient evidence to demonstrate that pre-placed explosives and/or incendiaries, including thermate, thermite, and high tech incendiaries/explosives such as nano-thermate, were used (in some combination) to destroy three of the WTC buildings on 9/11, an investigation by the Special Grand Jury (and the FBI) should include investigative interviews with all persons with access to high tech explosives and incendiaries such as nano-thermate. Access to such high-tech explosives and incendiaries is limited, and one or more of these persons may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry.

Certain government agencies and military and civilian laboratories, both U.S. and foreign, and certain companies and their contractors would have had access to nano-explosives

and nano-incendiaries, including nano-thermite, nano-thermate, and nano-RDX. Some of these entities are:

1) Redstone Arsenal, U.S. Army, Huntsville, Alabama, which has been reported to have worked with thermate including in grenades. Redstone is considered by some to house the most exclusive and elite explosives and munitions school in the U.S.

2) Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories (LLNL), which has been reported as one location where explosive sol-gel nano-thermites were developed by government scientists. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory tested and analyzed sol-gel nano-thermites at least as early as 1999.

3) Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), which is one location where scientists are reported to have researched and developed a super military grade nano-thermite called Super Thermite.

4) Naval Surface Warfare Center, Indian Head, Maryland (NSWC-IH), which is the most prominent U.S. center for nano-thermitic technology. NSWC-IH hired certain prominent government and military contractors.

5) One of the NSWC-IH contractors, a DOD and Homeland Security contractor, is reported to have expertise in thermite related technologies. This company is reported to have developed and evaluated nano-thermite research proposals for the military and other military contractors, and developed and formulated nano-thermites directly. One of its subsidiaries reportedly did research on the ignition of nano-thermites using lasers.

6) Another of the NSWC-IH contractors manages or co-manages technology experts from the five National Laboratories, including LLNL, for the Dept. of Energy and advertises its specialization in nanocomposite coatings.

## **F. Persons and Entities Who Financially Benefited from the WTC Demolitions**

As in other large crimes, the federal 9/11 related crimes addressed in the First Amended Petition resulted from planned and coordinated actions of a number of persons who shared one or more substantial motives. Even if it were true that such motives in the case of the demolition of the three WTC buildings on 9/11 was limited to the jihadist motives ascribed to the alleged hijackers by the government, it appears to the Lawyers' Committee so highly unlikely as to be non-credible that a jihadist group acting alone would have had the type of sustained access to the security controlled WTC buildings or to high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries, access to which is also highly controlled, required to accomplish the type of extensive pre-placement of high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries needed to demolish the three WTC buildings. For this reason, alternative motives such as financial motives should also be inquired into by the Special Grand Jury.

Consequently, those who owned and leased WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7, the three WTC buildings at issue, and who received or may have received insurance payments, directly or indirectly, after 9/11 may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry. Likewise, insurance companies that had liability to pay policy holders regarding the destruction of the WTC towers are persons who may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation.

A company took out a 99-year lease on the WTC Complex in late July of 2001. At that time, this company took out insurance against terrorist attacks. In 2007, this company was reported as having been awarded a \$4.55 billion settlement in insurance payouts for the destruction of the WTC, as a result of the largest insurance claim ever made.

Persons who may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation would therefore include the principals in this company, the company's insurance agent(s), and officials of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. Other persons who may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation include the parties to any property transactions concerning the WTC within a year of 9/11, including officials of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. Port Authority officials were reported to have been involved in issuing leases for the WTC twin towers to a certain aforementioned company six weeks before 9/11.

**G. Entities Who Benefitted Financially or Politically from the War on Terror**

In addition to possible financial motives, and possible jihadist motives, there remains a possibility that those involved in the demolition of the three WTC buildings on 9/11 had other motives. 9/11 was the impetus for an extensive and expensive ongoing war on terror that involved billions of dollars in government payments to military contractors and involved U.S. military actions against several oil rich and strategically located nations that certain organizations, individuals and allied countries considered critical to control in order for the U.S. to maintain global dominance. Certain organizations and individuals publicly recommended an attack against Iraq prior to 9/11. At least one organization, stated in a published report one year before 9/11 that it would take a "new Pearl Harbor" event to motivate the American people to make the commitment of money and troops needed for the U.S. to assert its military power to maintain American global dominance over the coming century. Shortly after 9/11, the phrase "a new Pearl Harbor" was immediately used and repeated by the mainstream media, government officials, and spokespersons, and others in referring to the 9/11 attacks. Those involved in

leadership roles in such organizations, as well as principals for major military contractors used in the post-9/11 war on terror, may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation regarding who may have had a motive to commit the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee in order to spark the now on-going, for 18 years and counting, U.S.-led international war on terror.

#### **H. Persons Arrested after Being Observed Celebrating the WTC Attacks on 9/11**

Other persons who may have material information regarding the 9/11 crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee include five individuals who were arrested on 9/11 after witnesses reported that three individuals were seen celebrating and filming the WTC attack early in the morning of September 11, 2001. The FBI already has the names of the five individuals who the New Jersey police later stopped and arrested and who were later held by the FBI. Three individuals were witnessed celebrating (the FBI's term was "high-fiving") and filming the WTC during the terrorist attacks on the morning of 9/11/01 as early as the first aircraft strike at the WTC, prior to the second tower being struck by an aircraft, according to two separate eye-witnesses (and a third witness who observed their van arrive at the apartment building in question at 8:15 am on 9/11). The FBI held and interviewed the five persons arrested for some time, who were eventually deported without indictment or prosecution.

One of these individuals arrested made a statement to the effect that they were sent to the United States to "document the event" (indicating foreknowledge). One foreign newspaper reported, based on an interview with a family member of one of the arrestees, that these individuals had videotaped the collapse of both WTC towers. The New Jersey police and FBI have reports regarding these arrests. A report prepared by Attorney Gerald Shea which was

submitted to Congress and the 9/11 Review Commission, also offers a review of certain related evidence and the conclusion that certain foreign nationals had foreknowledge of the 9/11 attacks.

The five specific individuals arrested on 9/11 in this incident were all reported to have worked for a certain purported moving company at the time, but evidence was obtained by the FBI indicating that the company may not have been a legitimate moving company. Police and FBI investigations related to the arrest of these individuals on 9/11 are reported to have included, in addition to development of prints from the film confiscated from the arrestees showing some of the arrestees smiling as they watched one or both of the WTC towers burning, an explosives residue test on a fabric sample from a blanket found in these individuals' van and swab samples to be tested for explosive residue. The van was searched by a trained bomb-sniffing dog which yielded a positive result for the presence of explosive traces. At least one WTC1 visitors' card was found in their van. A phone number was found in the possession of one of the arrestees which corresponded to another moving company that the FBI's Miami office believed had been used by one of the alleged 9/11 hijackers. One of the arrestees stated to the FBI that one of his coworkers told him on the morning of 9/11 that "they are taking down the second building" and he and a few of his coworkers climbed onto the roof of the company's building to observe the WTC and take photographs, and at the time he stated he thought the second WTC tower had been demolished intentionally to prevent it from tipping over. An FBI report indicates that one or more of these arrestees was possibly connected to a suspect in the WTC terrorist attacks. The FBI as part of its investigation compiled the addresses used by the alleged hijackers, the addresses used by suspected associates of the alleged hijackers, and the addresses used by the five arrestees. These five arrestees may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's investigation.

In addition, the manager of the company that employed the five individuals arrested, whose name is also already known by the FBI, apparently fled the country after the arrest of his five employees, and may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's investigation. In addition, the FBI agents who were involved with the detention and interviews and eventual release of these "high-fivers", and any other government agency officials or staff involved in facilitating or motivating the release and deportation of the arrestees, are persons who may have material information relevant to the Special Grand Jury's investigation.

#### **I. Persons with Foreknowledge Motivating First Responder Evacuations**

A number of events occurred prior to and on 9/11 that indicated some persons had foreknowledge of the attacks generally and of the collapse of WTC1, WTC2, and/or WTC7 specifically. Persons involved in or with knowledge of these events may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry. One event of interest in this regard is the complete evacuation on 9/11 of the NYC Emergency Operations Center (EOC), located on the 23rd floor of WTC7, before the collapse of the first Tower to fall, WTC2. Persons who may have (or have had) information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry regarding the decision to conduct this early evacuation include then-Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, Michael Hess, and the late Barry Jennings (whose recollections of 9/11 have been preserved on video<sup>11</sup>) and New York Office of Emergency Management (OEM) officials and staff.

A number of First Responders stated in their recorded testimonies taken a month or two after 9/11 that they were given advance notice that WTC7 was going to collapse. Professor Graeme MacQueen performed a review of the First Responders' testimonies recorded in the oral

---

<sup>11</sup> First Amended Petition Exhibit 25 (Barry Jennings video statement).



history interviews of the FDNY after 9/11 and observed the following regarding what the First Responders reported related to advance warnings of the collapse of WTC7:

(1) In the FDNY oral histories, there are about 60 FDNY members who report hearing warnings of WTC Seven's collapse.

(2) Of these 60 cases, only two have an unknown degree of certainty. Thirty-one cases qualify as "definite" (that WTC Seven is thought definitely to be coming down), while 27 qualify as "indefinite" (that WTC Seven might come down).

(3) In 27 cases time could not be determined. Of the remaining cases, 17 warnings were received less than two hours before collapse, while ten were received two or more hours before collapse and six appear to have been received four or more hours before collapse.

(4) In five cases it is unknown who ascertained that the building was headed for possible or certain collapse. Of the remaining cases, seven FDNY members personally ascertained or affirmed the possible or definite collapse, while in 50 cases this judgment was made by others, typically official superiors.<sup>12</sup>

The full transcripts of the testimonies of the First Responders that provided the basis for Dr. MacQueen's analysis, published by the New York Times, can be found on the New York Times' webpage. The First Responders who reported this foreknowledge of WTC7's collapse are persons who may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition.

Also see the analysis by Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth of the foreknowledge of WTC7's collapse on 9/11. *See* <http://www1.ae911truth.org/faqs/682-how-did-they-know->

---

<sup>12</sup> *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 06 to the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition (Dr. Graeme MacQueen paper summarizing First Responders' testimony). *Also see*, Exhibit 40 to the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition (Graeme MacQueen's public presentation analyzing the firefighter's testimonies regarding advance warnings of the collapse of WTC7).

examining-the-foreknowledge-of-building-7s-destruction.html. The BBC actually reported that WTC7 (a.k.a. the Salomon building) had collapsed 20 minutes before it happened, and CNN almost did the same, with the CNN reporter catching himself looking out the window and seeing WTC7 still standing and adjusting his wording to state that WTC7 was reported to be about to collapse. *See Exhibit 27 to the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition.* Those persons who are responsible for the transmission of that premature report of WTC7's collapse to the BBC (or within the BBC) and to CNN (or within CNN) are persons who may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition.

**J. Persons with Foreknowledge Acting with a Profit Motive Via Stock Trades**

Other persons who may have material information regarding the 9/11 related crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee, again based on apparent foreknowledge, are those persons who were involved in making suspicious stock trades shortly before 9/11 regarding companies with offices in or near the WTC, and those who have investigated those suspicious stock trades. Shortly before 9/11, millions of dollars in suspicious stock trading took place including large volumes of "put options" that were purchased, effectively betting that certain companies' stock would fall rather than rise in value.

The companies who were the target of these put options included Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. whose stock value did in fact fall after 9/11 as a result of their offices being demolished during the complete destruction of three WTC buildings on 9/11. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. occupied 22 floors of the WTC2 (South Tower) with about 2,700 employees in those offices, and more at the WTC nearby. Morgan Stanley had 2,157 put options bought in the week before September 11, 2001. In the months prior to these suspicious trades, only about

25 such contracts per day were purchased. Morgan Stanley's share price fell from \$48.90 to \$42.50 in the aftermath of the attacks. The person or persons who purchased these Morgan Stanley put options profited more than one million dollars on these trades.

Merrill Lynch & Co. also had offices at the WTC including about 2,000 employees in the Twin Towers on 9/11. Merrill Lynch had 12,215 put options bought in the week before the attacks, compared to about 250 such trades per day in the preceding months. When trading resumed after 9/11, Merrill Lynch's shares fell from \$46.88 to \$41.50. The person or persons who purchased these Merrill Lynch put options profited about five million dollars from these trades.

The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) investigated these large put option trades which were considered very unusual and suspicious. The 9/11 Commission acknowledged that these unusual trades took place but dismissed the need for further investigation based on the stated assumption that, because the persons who had been identified as having placed the put options had no known connections to the alleged Al Qaeda hijackers, that these put option trades must have been innocent. However, the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition evidence shows that large quantities of high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries were pre-planted in the WTC and detonated on 9/11 resulting in the complete destruction of WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7. There is no logical or evidence-based reason to conclude that Al Qaeda terrorists were solely responsible for these additional crimes that involved use of such explosives, as reported by the Lawyers' Committee.

Even if, as certain government officials have asserted, Al Qaeda terrorist hijackers were responsible for the aircraft attacks on WTC1 and WTC2, there remains no logical or evidence-based reason to conclude that Al Qaeda is the most likely entity with the means, motive, and

opportunity to plant large amounts of high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries in the three WTC buildings destroyed on 9/11. The high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries pre-planted in large amounts in the WTC, as shown in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition, were clearly sufficient to completely destroy all three of these WTC buildings in short order. Al Qaeda has not previously used such high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries (nano-thermate), and there is no evidence to suggest Al Qaeda had access to such large amounts of these high-tech explosives.

There is also no evidence and no reason to believe that Al Qaeda had sufficient access to the WTC to be able to pre-plant, under the nose of WTC security (and the federal and state agencies located in WTC7 including the Secret Service, SEC, and NYC OEM) such large amounts of explosives and/or incendiaries so systematically as to bring down these three WTC buildings in the controlled demolition fashion that 9/11 videos clearly depict (and numerous architects, engineers, and scientists have described). That is, Al Qaeda lacked both the means and the opportunity to completely destroy these three WTC buildings on 9/11 using such high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries.

Although at first it may seem strange to say, on close analysis motive is also lacking for Al Qaeda in regard to these federal crimes committed using explosives and/or incendiaries reported by the Lawyers' Committee. The government, in the official story of 9/11, has gone to some lengths, including via the 9/11 Commission Report, to explain how Al Qaeda had the means and opportunity, in addition to a jihadist motive, to pull off the hijackings and subsequent aircraft attacks on the WTC on 9/11. But if Al Qaeda did in fact invest its human and financial resources into these hijackings and air attacks, why would it invest what must have been a huge amount of time and money to plant large (expensive) amounts of high-tech explosives and/or

incendiaries in the same WTC buildings it was already planning to hit with large aircraft? If Al Qaeda actually had high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries in such large amounts, why not deploy such resources against additional high value targets? Conversely, if Al Qaeda was somehow responsible for pre-planting large amounts of high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries in the WTC, why would the Al Qaeda organization feel compelled to sacrifice the lives of their agents, and expend additional financial resources, to hijack aircraft to crash into and (only) damage these same buildings that were going to be completely destroyed anyway from the explosives and/or incendiaries? Why not take those aircraft and crash them into other high value targets?

If Al Qaeda had successfully pre-planted large amounts of high-tech explosives and/or incendiaries in WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7, they surely would have used their scarce pilot-trained human resources to attack some other target that they did not already have the capability to completely destroy. Nor would Al Qaeda have waited for most of the building occupants to have evacuated from these three WTC buildings, as occurred on 9/11, before detonating the explosives. The government's apparent conclusion to date that if it was not Al Qaeda terrorists who engaged in the above referenced insider trading using their foreknowledge of these crimes, then any other traders making the same highly suspicious pre-9/11 put options trades may be presumed innocent is clearly unfounded. It is more likely than not, for the reasons just discussed, that non-Al Qaeda entities are the perpetrators of the WTC 9/11 crimes related to use of explosives and/or incendiaries, and therefore, more likely than not that those entities who placed put options on the companies with offices in the WTC are also persons or entities other than Al-Qaeda.

The persons and entities who made these suspicious trades pre-9/11 regarding companies with offices in the WTC must therefore be considered persons with material information, even if not perpetrators, and even if not Al Qaeda, because their foreknowledge of coming adverse impacts on these WTC companies indicates, at minimum, that some person(s) they knew had knowledge of and communicated the imminent bombing of WTC buildings housing these companies. While advanced knowledge of an imminent hijacking and subsequent aircraft crash into a building would be sufficient to conclude with relative certainty that the stock value of the airlines involved would drop, it is by no means certain that the stock of a specific company in a specific WTC building on specific floors would likewise drop from an aircraft hijacking and crash. However, if one knew that the building in which a certain company's offices were housed had explosives planted sufficient to destroy the entire building, then buying put options for that company's stock (selling short) is no longer an uncertain proposition but a definite profit-making investment.

#### **K. Persons with Motives to Promote a War on Terror**

Additional persons who may have material information regarding federal crimes related to 9/11 are certain generals and others who worked in the Pentagon before and after 9/11. General Wesley Clark in his interview with Amy Goodman (attached as an Exhibit) stated that within 10 days after 9/11 he was told by a general at the Pentagon that Pentagon officials were planning on going to war with Iraq, although they had no valid evidence Iraq was connected to Al Qaeda. General Clark was told by the same general a few weeks later that Pentagon officials had a plan to take down the government of 7 nations in 5 years, including in addition to Iraq, Syria, Libya, and Iran. The United States in fact initiated military or other actions against or in

most these seven countries after 9/11 (with U.S. action against Iran having been threatened). The wars in Iraq and Afghanistan resulted in huge expenditures of federal moneys to military contractors.

#### **L. Persons Who Controlled the Premature Destruction of 9/11 Evidence**

The vast majority of the materials in the rubble pile at Ground Zero after the collapse of WTC2, WTC2 and WTC7 were rapidly removed from the scene by federal, state, and/or local government contractors. These residual materials left over after these building collapses would normally have been considered and treated and controlled as evidence at a crime scene (e.g. a potential bombing and or arson) and would have been meticulously examined, documented, and preserved by federal law enforcement and investigative agencies. The rapid manner in which this evidence, including huge amounts of WTC steel, was removed from Ground Zero was criticized as contrary to established policy and practice by expert fire investigators.<sup>13</sup>

For more than three months, structural steel from the World Trade Center has been and continues to be cut up and sold for scrap. Crucial evidence that could answer many questions about high-rise building design practices and performance under fire conditions is on the slow boat to China, perhaps never to be seen again in America until you buy your next car.

Such destruction of evidence shows the astounding ignorance of government officials to the value of a thorough, scientific investigation of the largest fire-induced collapse in world history. I have combed through our national standard for fire investigation, NFPA 921, but nowhere in it does one find an exemption allowing the destruction of evidence for buildings over 10 stories tall.<sup>14</sup>

The Fire & Explosion Guidelines of the NFPA requires a search for explosives when there is evidence of “high order damage” and the “shattering of the [steel] structure” (which was evident

---

<sup>13</sup> See, e.g., Bill Manning, "Selling Out the Investigation," Fire Engineering, January 2002.

<sup>14</sup> *Id.* Also see, "A Nation Challenged: The Towers; Experts Urging Broader Inquiry In Towers' Fall," by James Glanz and Eric Lipton, New York Times, December 25, 2001.

at the WTC) and an investigation of the use of incendiaries when there is evidence of extreme temperatures including molten steel or iron (which was also evidence at the WTC),<sup>15</sup> neither of which fundamentally important investigative steps was performed at the WTC.

Consequently, because evidence of federal crimes (including the presence or use of explosives and incendiaries) may have been destroyed, concealed, or made inaccessible during this expedited “cleanup” of Ground Zero, those persons involved in the expedited “cleanup” and the decisions and orders related to same are considered to be persons who may have information material to the Special Grand Jury’s inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers’ Committee’s First Amended Petition.

New York City hired five construction companies to handle the majority of the WTC debris removal, and the site was divided up among them. The WTC site was reported to have been broken up into five segments for clean-up operations. The five companies hired subcontractors to complete most of the actual debris removal. The key personnel of these companies that were involved in the WTC 9/11 cleanup are considered to be persons who may have information material to the Special Grand Jury’s inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers’ Committee’s First Amended Petition because, at minimum, they were in a position to observe who was responsible for the expedited removal of the WTC demolition evidence and what happened to that evidence.

Similarly, key officials of the agencies that oversaw the evidence search and recycling at the Fresh Kills landfill site, overseen by the Army Corps of Engineers, and persons involved in that process where Evidence Recovery Teams sifted and sorted the WTC debris are considered to be persons who may have information material to the Special Grand Jury’s inquiry into the

---

<sup>15</sup> See NFPA 921 (firefighters’ investigation code requiring such searches for explosives and incendiaries).



federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition. Among those persons who may have such material information are the FBI agents involved with the Fresh Kills site, and those who are reported to have taken materials from the site.

In regard to the WTC7 cleanup, it has been reported in an Office of Inspector General (OIG) memo to then-FBI Director Robert Mueller that building pieces were stacked in an area designated for that building for Secret Service agents to retrieve. Consequently, key OIG, Secret Service, and FBI officials may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition.

**M. The FBI's PENTTBOM Code Name for Its 9/11 Investigation**

Then-FBI Director Robert Mueller confirmed in a TIME Magazine cover story of May 10, 2011 that the FBI's code-name for the entire 9/11 investigation, the largest in its history, is PENTTBOM (short for Pentagon Twin Towers Bombing investigation). The code-names for previous FBI investigations of attacks known to be due to explosives/bombs ended in BOM, as in the case of the Oklahoma City bombing, which was code-named OKBOM. The FBI reports on the five individuals arrested in the white van on 9/11 (after having been observed celebrating while filming and photographing the burning WTC) use the phrase "the investigation of the bombing of the World Trade Center" at least for the period of 9/11/2001 through 9/14/2001. Consequently, Director Mueller and his key personnel at the FBI at the time may have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry into the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition. Similarly, the New Jersey State Police, who worked with the FBI in 9/11 related investigations, used a similar code-name for their 9/11 investigation.

**N. Interconnected Persons Who May Have 9/11 Related Material Information**

There are a number of connections among and between persons who may have material information regarding the WTC buildings demolition crimes and persons who may have material information regarding other aspects of the 9/11 attacks, including the alleged hijackers. This information, while circumstantial, may be of interest to a Special Grand Jury investigating the federal crimes reported in the First Amended Petition.

The Lawyers' Committee in the First Amended Petition and cover letter thereto (and in the original Petition) has offered to the United States Attorney to provide the Lawyers' Committee's information directly to a Special Grand Jury. If the Special Grand Jury is interested in this additional, and somewhat lengthy and detailed, information regarding such connections, the Lawyers' Committee would be willing to provide it directly to the Special Grand Jury (with some advance notice). In the meantime, chemist and 9/11 investigator Kevin Ryan has done considerable research in this area and has reported his findings in a multi-part article. Chemist Ryan's detailed and extensively referenced work provides many specific evidentiary leads that may be of interest to the Special Grand Jury. The Lawyers' Committee has not yet verified Mr. Ryan's research and is not representing his observations and conclusions as those of the Lawyers' Committee, only that the Special Grand Jury and the U.S. Attorney may find that Mr. Ryan's works may provide information and evidentiary leads relevant and material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry.

Given the Lawyers' Committee's lack of subpoena power, and the Lawyers' Committee's lack of the substantial financial resources available to the FBI and DOJ (and potentially available to a Special Grand Jury), the Lawyers' Committee is not in a position to confirm (or refute) Mr.

Ryan's specific findings at this time but will continue to pursue the leads Mr. Ryan has provided as resources allow. Mr. Ryan's work may provide a valuable starting point and investigative road map for a productive inquiry into this matter by a federal grand jury, along with the FBI and U.S. Attorney, each of whom has access to the resources needed to verify Mr. Ryan's observations, findings and evidentiary leads, and run them to ground.

## **II. Scientific and Technical Experts Who Have Material Information Relevant to the Federal Crimes Reported by the Lawyers' Committee**

In the First Amended Petition, the Lawyers' Committee presents the findings of a number of architects, engineers, and scientists regarding various aspects of the technical evidence that supports the conclusion that pre-placed explosives and incendiaries, including nano-thermate, were used to destroy WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7 on September 11, 2001 (9/11). Those architects, engineers, and scientists each have information material to a Special Grand Jury inquiry into the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee in the First Amended Petition. Several of these scientific and technical experts (including high-rise architects, structural engineers, chemical engineers, physicists, metallurgists, and controlled demolition experts) who have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry are identified below in this section of this Supplement to the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition.

Dr. Niels H. Harrit is a lead author of a key scientific study, along with Dr Steven Jones, chemist Kevin Ryan and others, that found nano-thermitic (explosive and/or incendiary) materials in the WTC dust samples.<sup>16</sup> The presence in all of the WTC dust of tons of previously molten iron-rich metal microspheres, the presence of which have been established by physical

---

<sup>16</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 01](#) (Harrit, N.H., Farrer, J., Jones, S., "Active Thermitic Material Discovered in Dust from the 9/11 World Trade Center Catastrophe," The Open Chemical Physics Journal, Vol. 2, pp. 7-31 (2009)). *Also see*, First Amended Petition [Exhibit 33](#), Testimony of Dr. Niels Harrit.

laboratory (electron microscope) analysis of WTC dust samples by both U.S. government and independent scientists, is a phenomenon that would be physically impossible based on the burning of jet fuel and office contents alone, but would be expected if high-tech thermitite, thermitite, or nano-thermitite explosives and/or incendiaries were used.<sup>17</sup>

The numerous architects, engineers, and scientists whose expert analysis and opinions are presented in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition are among those who have information material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry. This expert analysis and opinions supports the conclusion that the collapse of WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7 – the latter not hit by a plane -- exhibit the characteristics of demolition by use of pre-planted explosives and/or incendiaries.<sup>18</sup> These experts could present expert testimony to the Special Grand Jury on key technical points establishing that the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee were in fact committed. Those key technical pieces of evidence, more fully explained in the First Amended Petition, include the evidence discussed below.

Expert testimony could be presented to the Special Grand Jury explaining that the symmetrical straight-down collapse of WTC7 into a relatively small footprint and rubble pile,<sup>19</sup> shown in videotape recordings of the collapse,<sup>20</sup> is characteristic of controlled demolition and that such a collapse would not be expected to result from asymmetric damage to WTC7 caused by falling debris from the collapse of WTC1 and WTC2 or the fires caused by such falling debris.<sup>21</sup> The fact that such a symmetric straight-down collapse occurred in the case of WTC7 despite asymmetric damage to WTC7 due to impact from debris falling from the collapsing

---

<sup>17</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 01](#) Also see, [Exhibit 12](#); [Exhibit 38](#); and [Exhibit 39](#).

<sup>18</sup> See, e.g., First Amended Petition [Exhibit 29](#) and [Exhibit 39](#), Testimony of Architect Richard Gage and Engineer Jon Cole; First Amended Petition [Exhibit 30A](#) and [Exhibit 30B](#), Testimony of Engineer Tony Szamboti and Architect Gage.

<sup>19</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 09](#), page 3.

<sup>20</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 16](#).

<sup>21</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 09](#), page 21.

WTC1 is one of the bases for 3,000 architects and engineers including Architect Gage and Engineer Szamboti concluding that the WTC7 collapse involved the use of pre-placed incendiaries such as thermite and thermate.<sup>22</sup> This testimony would also be material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry.

In addition, expert testimony could be presented to the Special Grand Jury explaining that technical analysis of video evidence of the WTC building collapses demonstrates that WTC1 and WTC2 fell at near free-fall acceleration, while WTC7 exhibited actual free-fall acceleration for approximately 1/3 of the total collapse time (which would not be physically possible absent the use of pre-planted explosives and/or incendiaries).<sup>23</sup>

Also of potential interest to the Special Grand Jury is testimony from the expert who conducted an analysis of seismic data which led to his conclusion that explosions occurred at WTC1 and WTC2 on 9/11 prior to the airplane impacts on WTC1 and WTC2, as well as prior to the buildings' collapses.<sup>24</sup> Dr. André Rousseau, who has a doctorate degree in geophysics and geology and is a former researcher in geophysics and geology at the National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS) of France and a specialist in acoustic waves, concluded in a peer-reviewed journal article:

The witnesses and video observation confirm our conclusions of subaerial<sup>25</sup> explosions close to the times of aircraft impacts on WTC1 and WTC2, a strong subterranean explosion closely correlated with the WTC1 collapse, and subaerial explosions closely correlated with the WTC2 and WTC7 collapses, WTC7 not having been hit by a plane. As a consequence, we draw the conclusion that the three buildings were demolished by a controlled process. . . .<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>22</sup> *Id.*

<sup>23</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 32A](#), Testimony of physics teacher David Chandler and Architect Richard Gage.

<sup>24</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 02](#) "Were Explosives the Source of the Seismic Signals Emitted from New York on September 11, 2001?", Dr. André Rousseau, Journal of 9/11 Studies, Vol. 34, Nov. 2012.).

<sup>25</sup> "Subaerial" is defined as located or occurring on the surface of the earth.

<sup>26</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 02](#) "Were Explosives the Source of the Seismic Signals Emitted from New York on September 11, 2001?", Dr. André Rousseau, Journal of 9/11 Studies, Vol. 34, Nov. 2012. Also see, First Amended Petition Exhibit 08, "Seismic Waves Generated by Aircraft Impacts and Building Collapses at World Trade Center, New York City" (WTC\_LDEO\_KIM.pdf, Figure 1, page 6).

Expert testimony could also be presented to the Special Grand Jury explaining that independent scientific analysis and U.S. government reports have confirmed sulfidation and high-temperature corrosion of the steel found in the rubble after the collapse of WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7,<sup>27</sup> a phenomenon that cannot be accounted for by a jet fuel fire or gravity-driven collapse but that is consistent with the use of thermite, thermate, or nano-thermite explosives and/or incendiaries.

Also of potential interest to the Special Grand Jury is testimony from the experts and eyewitnesses which confirm instrument readings of extremely high temperatures exceeding 2,800°F and fires persisting at Ground Zero for months after 9/11 that cannot be explained by burning jet fuel or building contents but which are consistent with the presence of thermate, thermite, or nano-thermite.<sup>28</sup>

Among the experts whose analyses and opinions are referenced in the First Amended Petition that support the conclusion that explosives and/or incendiaries were used to destroy WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7 on 9/11 are the analyses and opinions of architect Richard Gage and physics instructor David Chandler, who, as noted above, have concluded based on a technical analysis of the video evidence that WTC1 and WTC2 fell at near free-fall acceleration, while WTC7 exhibited actual free-fall acceleration during approximately 1/3 of the total collapse time, i.e., at an acceleration that would have been physically impossible to attain in the absence of the use of pre-planted explosives and/or incendiaries to remove the normal resistance presented by intact structural steel framing, including the steel core columns and steel outer columns, below

---

<sup>27</sup> First Amended Petition [Exhibit 14](#).

<sup>28</sup> See, e.g., First Amended Petition [Exhibit 09](#); [Exhibit 12](#); and [Exhibit 13](#).

the collapsing floors as is done in controlled demolitions.<sup>29</sup> This testimony is also material to the Special Grand Jury's inquiry.

Those architects, engineers, and scientists whose work is referenced above each have information material to a Special Grand Jury inquiry into the federal crimes reported by the Lawyers' Committee in the First Amended Petition. More details regarding the opinions and testimonies of these scientific and technical experts is provided in the First Amended Petition.

### **III. CONCLUSION**

In closing this Petition Supplement, the Lawyers' Committee again cautions any readers of this Supplement (whether redacted or unredacted versions) and any readers of the First Amended Petition (or the original Petition) that any reference to a person or company or category of persons or companies or other entity in this Supplement or in the First Amended Petition or original Petition should not be taken as an allegation of any wrongdoing by any such persons, companies or other entities. Making such determinations are the function and within the authority of the Special Grand Jury. Rather, this Supplement, and the First Amended Petition and Exhibits thereto, and the original Petition, are intended only to provide information that may be useful to a Special Grand Jury, the FBI, and the Department of Justice in conducting their investigations and in drawing their own conclusions regarding the federal crimes reported in the Lawyers' Committee's First Amended Petition and Exhibits.

---

<sup>29</sup> See, e.g., First Amended Petition [Exhibit 32A](#), Testimony of Architect Richard Gage and physics instructor David Chandler.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawyers' Committee for 9/11 Inquiry, Inc.

By:

David R. Meiswinkle, Attorney at Law, Chairman, Board of Directors

Edward Asner, Executive Director, Member, Board of Directors

Mick G. Harrison, Attorney at Law, Litigation Director

520 S. Walnut Street, #1147

Bloomington, IN 47402

Phone: 812-361-6220

[mickharrisesq@gmail.com](mailto:mickharrisesq@gmail.com)